## Note on the pigeonhole principle

**Theorem 1** (Pigeonhole principle). If we put more than n objects into n boxes then there is a box containing at least 2 objects.

*Proof.* Suppose the theorem is false. That means we can put more than n objects into n boxes and have at most one object per box. The total number of objects is the sum over all boxes i of the number object  $B_i$  in box i. Now,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n B_i \le \sum_{i=1}^n 1 = n * 1$$

which is not more than n. Contradiction (to the number of objects we have).

Example 1.

Theorem 2. Every graph with at least 2 vertices contains 2 vertices of the same degree.

*Proof.* First note that all vertices of a graph G on n vertices have degrees between 0 and n (inclusively). Second, note that no graph with at least 2 vertices has both a vertex u of degree 0 and a vertex v of degree n-1 (if they both existed, is there an edge between u and v?).

Thus, in any graph with at least 2 vertices, all degrees are either a subset of  $\{0, 1, \ldots, n-2\}$  or  $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ . Both of these sets have size n-1. Therefore, since we have n vertices, by the pigeonhole principle, there are two vertices of the same degree.

**Example 2.** (Rosen, p.348 example 4)

**Theorem 3.** For every integer n, there is a positive multiple kn of k whose decimal representation contains only 0 and 1.

*Proof.* Consider the number  $1, 11, 111, \ldots, 11 \ldots 111$  where the last number contains n + 1 digits. The remainder of these numbers (and in fact any number) when divided by n can take on values in  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ . Thus, by the pigeonhole principle, there are two numbers which have the same remainder. Therefore, the difference between them has a remainder of 0 when divided by n. Furthermore, the difference between the larger of the two number and the smaller of the two contains only 0 and 1 in its decimal representation.

**Theorem 4** (Generalized pigeonhole principle). If we put k objects into n boxes then there is a box containing at least  $\lceil \frac{n}{k} \rceil$  objects.

*Proof.* Suppose the theorem is false. That means we can put k objects into n boxes and have at most  $\lceil \frac{n}{k} \rceil - 1$  objects per box. The total number of objects is the sum over all boxes i of the number object  $B_i$  in box i. Now,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \left\lceil \frac{n}{k} \right\rceil - 1 \right) = n \left( \left\lceil \frac{n}{k} \right\rceil - 1 \right)$$

which is less than n. Contradiction (to the number of objects we have).