# COMP 451 – Fundamentals of Machine Learning Lecture 21 – Neural Networks

#### William L. Hamilton

\* Unless otherwise noted, all material posted for this course are copyright of the instructor, and cannot be reused or reposted without the instructor's written permission.

#### **Recall the perceptron**



# Decision surface of a perceptron

- Single perceptron can represent linear boundaries.
- To represent non-linearly separate functions (e.g. XOR), we could use a <u>network</u> of <u>stacked</u> perceptron-like elements.
- If we connect perceptrons into networks, the error surface for the network is not differentiable (because of the hard threshold).



## Example: A network representing XOR



# Recall the sigmoid function



Sigmoid provide "soft threshold", whereas perceptron provides "hard threshold"

It has the following nice property:

$$\frac{d\sigma(z)}{dz} = \sigma(z)(1 - \sigma(z))$$

We can derive a gradient descent rule to train:

• One sigmoid unit -> multi-layer networks of sigmoid units.

- We are stacking simple models with sigmoid output functions.
  - (I.e., basically stacking logistic regression models)
- "Hidden" units are the output of the sigmoid/logistic models in the stack.
- Note that unlike a Boltzmann machine, the connections are directed and information only flows in one direction!

$$h_i = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_i^\top \mathbf{x} + b_i), \forall i$$

Hidden units are linear + sigmoid activation, i.e., analogous to logistic regression.



Input data (or input units)

$$\mathbf{x} \qquad h_i = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + b_i), \forall i$$

$$\mathbf{w}_1 \qquad h_1 \qquad \mathbf{w}_{\text{out}} \qquad \hat{y}$$

$$\mathbf{w}_2 \qquad h_2 \qquad \hat{y} = \phi_{\text{out}}(\mathbf{w}_{\text{out}} \mathbf{h} + b_{\text{out}})$$

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_1^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \mathbf{w}_2^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{h} = \sigma(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$$

Hidden units are linear function + sigmoid applied to input.



William L. Hamilton, McGill University and Mila

X  $h_i = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_i^\top \mathbf{x} + b_i), \forall i$ Matrix notation: We can combine the hidden  $\mathbf{W}_1$  $h_1$ units together into a Wout Ų vector and their weights  $\mathbf{W}_2$  $h_2$ into a matrix  $\hat{y} = \phi_{\text{out}}(\mathbf{w}_{\text{out}}\mathbf{h} + b_{\text{out}})$  $\mathbf{W} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_1^+ \ \mathbf{w}_2^- \end{bmatrix}$  $\mathbf{h} = \sigma(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$ 

Output unit: Linear function of the hidden units followed by an "activation function",

 $\phi_{out}$ .



**Regression** :  $\phi_{\text{out}}(z) = z$ Binary classification :  $\phi_{out}(z) = \sigma(z)$ X The activation function on  $\mathbf{w}_1$  $h_1$ the output depends on wout the task (e.g., regression Ŷ or classification)  $\mathbf{W}_2$  $h_2$  $\hat{y} = \phi_{\text{out}}(\mathbf{w}_{\text{out}}\mathbf{h} + b_{\text{out}})$ 

- It is possible to have multiple output units.
- E.g., for multi-label classification.



- It is possible to stack more than one hidden layer.
- This is known as the "depth" of the network.



$$\mathbf{h}^{(1)} = \sigma(\mathbf{W}^{(1)}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^{(1)}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{h}^{(2)} = \sigma(\mathbf{W}^{(2)}\mathbf{h}^{(1)} + \mathbf{b}^{(2)}) \twoheadrightarrow \hat{\mathbf{y}} = \phi_{out}(\mathbf{W}_{out}\mathbf{h}^{(2)} + \mathbf{b}_{out})$$

# Why this name?

- In <u>feed-forward networks</u> the output of units in layer j become input to the units in layers j+1.
- No cross-connection between units in the same layer.
- No backward connections from layers downstream
- In <u>fully-connected networks</u>, all units in layer j provide input to all units in layer j+1.

## **Fully-connected networks**



Fully connected networks are far more common!

- In general, we have an input layer, H hidden layers, and an output layer.
- Computing the output is called running the "forward pass":

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{h}^{0} &= \mathbf{x} & \text{Initialize} \\ \text{for } \mathbf{i} &= \mathbf{1} \dots \mathbf{H}: & \text{Compute each hidden} \\ \mathbf{h}^{(i)} &= \sigma(\mathbf{W}^{(i)}\mathbf{h}^{(i-1)} + \mathbf{b}^{(i)}) & \text{Compute each hidden} \\ \hat{\mathbf{y}} &= \phi_{\text{out}}(\mathbf{W}_{\text{out}}\mathbf{h}^{(H)} + \mathbf{b}_{\text{out}}) & \text{Compute the output} \end{split}$$

# Learning in feed-forward neural networks

- Assume the network structure (units + connections) is given.
- The learning problem is finding a good set of weights to minimize the error at the output of the network.
- Approach: gradient descent, because the form of the hypothesis formed by the network is:
  - Differentiable! Because of the choice of sigmoid units.
  - <u>Very complex</u>! Hence direct computation of the optimal weights is not possible.

# Gradient-descent preliminaries for NN

- Take regression as a simple case (i.e., the y values are one-dimensional and real-valued).
- Assume we have a fully-connected network with one hidden layer.
- We want to compute the weight update after seeing a single training example <x, y>.

• We are using the squared loss: 
$$J(y, \hat{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{y} - y)^2$$



William L. Hamilton, McGill University and Mila

 $\hat{y}$ 







$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\text{out}}} &= \frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\text{out}}} \\ &= (\hat{y} - y) \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\text{out}}} \\ &= (\hat{y} - y) \frac{\partial \left(\mathbf{w}_{\text{out}}\mathbf{h} + b_{\text{out}}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\text{out}}} \\ &= (\hat{y} - y)\mathbf{h} \\ &= \delta_{out}\mathbf{h} \quad \text{We can think of this of this of this as the "error signal" at the output node.} \end{aligned}$$



We want to determine the derivative of the error w.r.t. to the weights of the hidden node.

 $\partial J$ 

 $\overline{\partial \mathbf{w}_i}$ 





Again, apply the chain rule



 $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_i} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j}$  $= \delta_{\text{out}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j}$ 

We already compute the error at the output node, so we can just substitute this in.



 $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_i} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j}$  $= \delta_{\text{out}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j}$  $= \delta_{\text{out}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_j} \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j}$ 

Recall that:  $h_i = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_i^\top \mathbf{x} + b_i), \forall i$ And again, apply the chain rule....



 $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_i} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_i}$  $= \delta_{\text{out}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}}$  $= \delta_{\rm out} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial h_j} \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j}$  $= \delta_{out} w_{\text{out},j} \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j}$ 

Recall that

$$\hat{y} = \mathbf{w}_{\text{out}}^{\top} \mathbf{h} + b_{\text{out}}$$

and note that the j'th hidden node only interacts with the j'th value in **w**<sub>out</sub>



$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} 
= \delta_{\text{out}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} 
= \delta_{\text{out}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial h_{j}} \frac{\partial h_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} 
= \delta_{\text{out}} w_{\text{out},j} \frac{\partial h_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} 
= \delta_{out} w_{\text{out},j} \sigma(\mathbf{w}_{j}^{\top} \mathbf{x} + b)(1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}_{j}^{\top} \mathbf{x} + b))\mathbf{x}$$
Recall that
$$h_{i} = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{x} + b_{i}), \forall i$$
and the identity
$$\frac{\partial \sigma(z)}{\partial z} = \sigma(z)(1 - \sigma(z))$$



 $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_i} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_i}$  $= \delta_{\text{out}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}}$  $= \delta_{\text{out}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial h_j} \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j}$  $= \delta_{out} w_{\text{out},j} \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j}$  $= \delta_{out} w_{\text{out},j} \sigma(\mathbf{w}_{j}^{\top} \mathbf{x} + b) (1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}_{j}^{\top} \mathbf{x} + b)) \mathbf{x}$  $=\delta_{h_j}\mathbf{x}$  We can think of this of this a the "error signal" at the hidden node.



 $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_i} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_i}$ The error at the hidden node is a function of the error at the  $= \delta_{\text{out}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}}$ output, and we are "propagating" this error  $= \delta_{\text{out}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial h_j} \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{backwards through the} \\ \text{network.} \end{array}$  $= \delta_{out} w_{\text{out},j} \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j}$  $= \delta_{out} w_{\text{out},j} \sigma(\mathbf{w}_{j}^{\top} \mathbf{x} + b) (1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}_{j}^{\top} \mathbf{x} + b)) \mathbf{x}$  $=\delta_{h_j}\mathbf{x}$  We can think of this of this a the "error signal" at the hidden node.



# Stochastic gradient descent

- Initialize all weights to small random numbers.
- Repeat until convergence:
  - Pick a training example, **x**.
  - Feed example through network to compute output y.
  - For the output unit, compute the correction:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\text{out}}} = \delta_{\text{out}} \mathbf{x}$$

• For each hidden unit *j*, compute its share of the correction:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} = \delta_{\text{out}} w_{out,j} \sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b) (1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b)) \mathbf{x}_j$$

Update each network weight:

$$\mathbf{w}_j = \mathbf{w}_j - \alpha \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} \quad \forall j, \qquad \mathbf{w}_{out} = \mathbf{w}_{out} - \alpha \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{out}}$$

William L. Hamilton, McGill University and Mila

Initialization Forward pass Backpropagation Gradient descent

# Organizing the training data

- Stochastic gradient descent: Compute error on a single example at a time (as in previous slide).
- Batch gradient descent: Compute error on all examples.
  - Loop through the training data, accumulating weight changes.
  - Update all weights and repeat.
- Mini-batch gradient descent: Compute error on small subset.
  - Randomly select a "mini-batch" (i.e. subset of training examples).
  - Calculate error on mini-batch, apply to update weights, and repeat.

## Expressiveness of feed-forward NN

#### A neural network with no hidden layers?

 Same representational power as logistic/linear regression or a perceptron; Boolean AND, OR, NOT, but not XOR.

## Expressiveness of feed-forward NN

#### A neural network with no hidden layers?

 Same representational power as logistic/linear regression or a perceptron; Boolean AND, OR, NOT, but not XOR.

#### A neural network with a single hidden layer?

- Can represent every boolean function, but might require a number of hidden units that is exponential in the number of inputs.
- Every bounded continuous function can be approximated with arbitrary precision by a boolean function.

# Expressiveness of feed-forward NN

#### A neural network with no hidden layers?

 Same representational power as logistic/linear regression or a perceptron; Boolean AND, OR, NOT, but not XOR.

#### A neural network with a single hidden layer?

- Can represent every boolean function, but might require a number of hidden units that is exponential in the number of inputs.
- Every bounded continuous function can be approximated with arbitrary precision by a boolean function.

#### A neural network with two hidden layers?

 Any function can be approximated to arbitrary accuracy by a network with two hidden layers.

# Generalizing the feed-forward NN

- Can use arbitrary output activation functions.
- In practice, we do not necessarily need to use a sigmoid activation in the hidden layer.
- We can make networks as deep as we want.
- We can add regularization.
- But how to compute these nasty derivatives..? (Next lecture!)



Can be an arbitrary **non-linear** activation function