# COMP 451 Fundamentals of Machine Learning Lecture 21 - Neural Networks 

William L. Hamilton

* Unless otherwise noted, all material posted for this course are copyright of the instructor, and cannot be reused or reposted without the instructor's written permission.


## Recall the perceptron



## Decision surface of a perceptron

- Single perceptron can represent linear boundaries.
- To represent non-linearly separate functions (e.g. XOR), we could use a network of stacked perceptron-like elements.
- If we connect perceptrons into networks, the error surface for the network is not differentiable (because

(a)

(b) of the hard threshold).


## Example: A network representing XOR

 decision boundaries above

## Recall the sigmoid function



Sigmoid provide "soft threshold", whereas perceptron provides "hard threshold"

- It has the following nice property: $\frac{d \sigma(z)}{d z}=\sigma(z)(1-\sigma(z))$

We can derive a gradient descent rule to train:

- One sigmoid unit -> multi-layer networks of sigmoid units.


## Feed-forward neural networks

- We are stacking simple models with sigmoid output functions.
- (I.e., basically stacking logistic regression models)
- "Hidden" units are the output of the sigmoid/logistic models in the stack.
- Note that unlike a Boltzmann machine, the connections are directed and information only

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{i}=\sigma\left(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b_{i}\right), \forall i \\
& \text { Hidden units are linear + sigmoid } \\
& \text { activation, i.e., analogous to } \\
& \text { logistic regression. }
\end{aligned}
$$ flows in one direction!

## Feed-forward neural networks



## Feed-forward neural networks

Hidden units are linear function + sigmoid applied to input.


## Feed-forward neural networks



## Feed-forward neural networks

Output unit: Linear function of the hidden units followed by an "activation function", $\phi_{\text {out }}$.

$$
h_{i}=\sigma\left(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b_{i}\right), \forall i
$$

## Feed-forward neural networks

Regression: $\phi_{\text {out }}(z)=z$


## Feed-forward neural networks

- It is possible to have multiple output units.
- E.g., for multi-label classification.



## Feed-forward neural networks

- It is possible to stack more than one hidden layer.
- This is known as the "depth" of the network.


$$
\mathbf{h}^{(1)}=\sigma\left(\mathbf{W}^{(1)} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}^{(1)}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{h}^{(2)}=\sigma\left(\mathbf{W}^{(2)} \mathbf{h}^{(1)}+\mathbf{b}^{(2)}\right) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{y}}=\phi_{\text {out }}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\text {out }} \mathbf{h}^{(2)}+\mathbf{b}_{\text {out }}\right)
$$

## Why this name?

- In feed-forward networks the output of units in layer j become input to the units in layers $\mathrm{j}+1$.
- No cross-connection between units in the same layer.
- No backward connections from layers downstream
- In fully-connected networks, all units in layer j provide input to all units in layer $\mathrm{j}+1$.


## Fully-connected networks



Fully connected networks are far more common!

## Feed-forward neural networks

- In general, we have an input layer, H hidden layers, and an output layer.
- Computing the output is called running the "forward pass":

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{h}^{0}=\mathbf{x} \\
& \text { for } \mathrm{i}=1 \ldots \mathrm{H}: \\
& \quad \mathbf{h}^{(i)}=\sigma\left(\mathbf{W}^{(i)} \mathbf{h}^{(i-1)}+\mathbf{b}^{(i)}\right) \\
& \hat{\mathbf{y}}=\phi_{\text {out }}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\text {out }} \mathbf{h}^{(H)}+\mathbf{b}_{\text {out }}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Initialize

Compute each hidden layer sequentially

Compute the output

## Learning in feed-forward neural networks

- Assume the network structure (units + connections) is given.
- The learning problem is finding a good set of weights to minimize the error at the output of the network.
- Approach: gradient descent, because the form of the hypothesis formed by the network is:
- Differentiable! Because of the choice of sigmoid units.
- Very complex! Hence direct computation of the optimal weights is not possible.


## Gradient-descent preliminaries for NN

- Take regression as a simple case (i.e., the y values are one-dimensional and real-valued).
- Assume we have a fully-connected network with one hidden layer.
- We want to compute the weight update after seeing a single training example $<x, y>$.
- We are using the squared loss: $J(y, \hat{y})=\frac{1}{2}(\hat{y}-y)^{2}$


## Gradient-descent update for the output node

$$
\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{out}}}=\frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{out}}}
$$



Basic Neural Net

$$
\mathbf{W}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{w}_{1}^{\top} \\
\mathbf{w}_{2}^{\top}
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{h}=\sigma(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}) \\
& \hat{y}=\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{out}}^{\top} \mathbf{h}+b_{\mathrm{out}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gradient-descent update for the output node

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\text {out }}} & =\frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\text {out }}} \\
& =(\hat{y}-y) \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\text {out }}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Recall that: } \\
J(y, \hat{y})=\frac{1}{2}(\hat{y}-y)^{2}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Basic Neural Net



## Gradient-descent update for the output node

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{out}}} & =\frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{out}}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Recall tha } \\
\hat{y}=\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{ou}}^{\top}
\end{array} \\
& =(\hat{y}-y) \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{out}}} \\
& =(\hat{y}-y) \frac{\partial\left(\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{out}} \mathbf{h}+b_{\mathrm{out}}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{out}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Basic Neural Net

$$
\mathbf{W}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{w}_{1}^{\top} \\
\mathbf{w}_{2}^{\top}
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{h}=\sigma(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}) \\
& \hat{y}=\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{out}}^{\top} \mathbf{h}+b_{\mathrm{out}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gradient-descent update for the output node

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\text {out }}}=\frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\text {out }}} \\
&=(\hat{y}-y) \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\text {out }}} \\
&=(\hat{y}-y) \frac{\partial\left(\mathbf{w}_{\text {out }} \mathbf{h}+b_{\text {out }}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\text {out }}} \\
&=(\hat{y}-y) \mathbf{h} \\
&=\delta_{\text {out }} \mathbf{h} \quad \text { We can think of this of } \\
& \quad \quad \text { this as the "error signal" at } \\
& \text { the output node. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Basic Neural Net

$$
\mathbf{W}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{w}_{1}^{\top} \\
\mathbf{w}_{2}^{\top}
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{h}=\sigma(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}) \\
& \hat{y}=\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{out}}^{\top} \mathbf{h}+b_{\mathrm{out}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gradient-descent update for the hidden node

$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}}$


We want to determine the derivative of the error w.r.t. to the weights of the hidden node.

$$
\mathbf{W}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{w}_{1}^{\top} \\
\mathbf{w}_{2}^{\top}
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{h}=\sigma(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}) \\
& \hat{y}=\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{out}}^{\top} \mathbf{h}+b_{\mathrm{out}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Basic Neural Net


## Gradient-descent update for the hidden node

$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}}=\frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}}$
Again, apply the
chain rule

Basic Neural Net

$$
\mathbf{W}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{w}_{1}^{\top} \\
\mathbf{w}_{2}^{\top}
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{h}=\sigma(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}) \\
& \hat{y}=\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{out}}^{\top} \mathbf{h}+b_{\mathrm{out}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gradient-descent update for the hidden node

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}} & =\frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} \\
& =\delta_{\text {out }} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

| We already |
| :--- |
| compute the error |
| at the output node, |
| so we can just |
| substitute this in. |

## Basic Neural Net



## Gradient-descent update for the hidden node

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}} & =\frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} \\
& =\delta_{\text {out }} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} \\
& =\delta_{\text {out }} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial h_{j}} \frac{\partial h_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

| Recall that: |
| :--- |
| $h_{i}=\sigma\left(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b_{i}\right), \forall i$ |
| And again, apply <br> the chain rule.... |



## Gradient-descent update for the hidden node

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}} & =\frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} \\
& =\delta_{\text {out }} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} \\
& =\delta_{\text {out }} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial h_{j}} \frac{\partial h_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} \\
& =\delta_{\text {out }} w_{\text {out }, j} \frac{\partial h_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that
$\hat{y}=\mathbf{w}_{\text {out }}^{\top} \mathbf{h}+b_{\text {out }}$
and note that the
j'th hidden node
only interacts with
the j'th value in $\mathbf{w}_{\text {out }}$

## Basic Neural Net



$$
\mathbf{W}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{w}_{1}^{\top} \\
\mathbf{w}_{2}^{\top}
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{h}=\sigma(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}) \\
& \hat{y}=\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{out}}^{\top} \mathbf{h}+b_{\mathrm{out}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gradient-descent update for the hidden node

$$
\begin{array}{rl|l|}
\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}} & =\frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Recall that } \\
h_{i}=\sigma\left(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b_{i}\right), \forall i \\
\text { and the identity }
\end{array} \\
& =\delta_{\text {out }} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} & \begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \sigma(z)}{\partial z}=\sigma(z)(1-\sigma(z))
\end{array} \\
& =\delta_{\text {out }} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial h_{j}} \frac{\partial h_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} & \\
& =\delta_{\text {out }} w_{\text {out }, j} \frac{\partial h_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} \\
& =\delta_{\text {out }} w_{\text {out }, j} \sigma\left(\mathbf{w}_{j}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b\right)\left(1-\sigma\left(\mathbf{w}_{j}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b\right)\right) \mathbf{x}
\end{array}
$$

## Basic Neural Net



$$
\mathbf{W}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{w}_{1}^{\top} \\
\mathbf{w}_{2}^{\top}
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{h}=\sigma(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}) \\
& \hat{y}=\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{out}}^{\top} \mathbf{h}+b_{\mathrm{out}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gradient-descent update for the hidden node

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}}=\frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} \\
&=\delta_{\text {out }} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} \\
&=\delta_{\text {out }} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial h_{j}} \frac{\partial h_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} \\
&=\delta_{\text {out }} w_{\text {out }, j} \frac{\partial h_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} \\
&=\delta_{\text {out }} w_{\text {out }, j} \sigma\left(\mathbf{w}_{j}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b\right)\left(1-\sigma\left(\mathbf{w}_{j}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b\right)\right) \mathbf{x} \\
&=\delta_{h_{j}} \mathbf{x} \quad \text { We can think of this of this a the } \\
& \text { "error signal" at the hidden node. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Basic Neural Net



$$
\mathbf{W}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{w}_{1}^{\top} \\
\mathbf{w}_{2}^{\top}
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{h}=\sigma(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}) \\
& \\
& \hat{y}=\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{out}}^{\top} \mathbf{h}+b_{\mathrm{out}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gradient-descent update for the hidden node

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}}=\frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{y}} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text { The error at the hidden node is } \\
\text { a function of the error at the } \\
\text { output, and we are }
\end{array} \\
&=\delta_{\text {out }} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text { "propagating" this error } \\
\text { backwards through the } \\
\text { network. }
\end{array} \\
&=\delta_{\text {out }} \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial h_{j}} \frac{\partial h_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} \quad \\
&=\delta_{\text {out }} w_{\text {out }, j} \frac{\partial h_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} \\
&=\delta_{\text {out }} w_{\text {out }, j} \sigma\left(\mathbf{w}_{j}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b\right)\left(1-\sigma\left(\mathbf{w}_{j}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b\right)\right) \mathbf{x} \\
&=\delta_{h_{j}} \mathbf{x} \quad \text { We can think of this of this a the } \\
& \text { "error signal" at the hidden node. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Basic Neural Net



## Stochastic gradient descent

- Initialize all weights to small random numbers.
- Repeat until convergence:
- Pick a training example, x.
- Feed example through network to compute output y.

Forward
pass

- For the output unit, compute the correction:

$$
\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\text {out }}}=\delta_{\text {out }} \mathbf{x}
$$

Backpropagation

- For each hidden unit $j$, compute its share of the correction:

$$
\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}}=\delta_{\text {out }} w_{\text {out }, j} \sigma\left(\mathbf{w}_{j}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b\right)\left(1-\sigma\left(\mathbf{w}_{j}^{\top} \mathbf{x}+b\right)\right) \mathbf{x}
$$

- Update each network weight:

$$
\mathbf{w}_{j}=\mathbf{w}_{j}-\alpha \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{j}} \forall j, \quad \mathbf{w}_{\text {out }}=\mathbf{w}_{\text {out }}-\alpha \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{\text {out }}}
$$

## Organizing the training data

- Stochastic gradient descent: Compute error on a single example at a time (as in previous slide).
- Batch gradient descent: Compute error on all examples.
- Loop through the training data, accumulating weight changes.
- Update all weights and repeat.
- Mini-batch gradient descent: Compute error on small subset.
" Randomly select a "mini-batch" (i.e. subset of training examples).
- Calculate error on mini-batch, apply to update weights, and repeat.


## Expressiveness of feed-forward NN

## A neural network with no hidden layers?

- Same representational power as logistic/linear regression or a perceptron; Boolean AND, OR, NOT, but not XOR.


## Expressiveness of feed-forward NN

## A neural network with no hidden layers?

- Same representational power as logistic/linear regression or a perceptron; Boolean AND, OR, NOT, but not XOR.


## A neural network with a single hidden layer?

- Can represent every boolean function, but might require a number of hidden units that is exponential in the number of inputs.
- Every bounded continuous function can be approximated with arbitrary precision by a boolean function.


## Expressiveness of feed-forward NN

## A neural network with no hidden layers?

- Same representational power as logistic/linear regression or a perceptron; Boolean AND, OR, NOT, but not XOR.


## A neural network with a single hidden layer?

- Can represent every boolean function, but might require a number of hidden units that is exponential in the number of inputs.
- Every bounded continuous function can be approximated with arbitrary precision by a boolean function.


## A neural network with two hidden layers?

- Any function can be approximated to arbitrary accuracy by a network with two hidden layers.


## Generalizing the feed-forward NN

- Can use arbitrary output activation functions.
- In practice, we do not necessarily need to use a sigmoid activation in the hidden layer.
- We can make networks as deep as we want.
- We can add regularization.
- But how to compute these nasty derivatives..? (Next lecture!)

$\mathbf{h}^{(i)}=\phi_{i}\left(\mathbf{W}^{(i)} \mathbf{h}^{(i-1)}+\mathbf{b}^{(i)}\right)$
Can be an arbitrary non-linear activation function

