

Lecture 1 Introduction

Thursday, January 7, 2021 11:12 AM

Equivalence relations

A binary relation R on a set X is a subset of $X \times X$ i.e. it is a set of pairs

[NOTATION: $(a, b) \in R$ as $a R b$]

R must satisfy:

- (i) Reflexivity $\forall x \in X \quad x R x$
- (ii) Symmetry $\forall x, y \in X \quad x R y \Rightarrow y R x$
- (iii) Transitivity $\forall x, y, z \in X \quad x R y \wedge y R z \Rightarrow x R z$

Eg $n \equiv m \pmod{7}$

means remainder after dividing n by 7 and m by 7 is the same.

Partial order \leq

"think less than or equal to"

A binary relation R is a partial order on X if:

- (i) $\forall x \in X \quad x R x$ → ANTISYMMETRY
 $x R y \wedge y R x \Rightarrow x = y$

$$(ii) \forall x, y \in X \quad x R y \text{ \& } y R x \Rightarrow x = y$$

$$(iii) \forall x, y, z \quad x R y \text{ \& } y R z \Rightarrow x R z$$

e.g. numerical inequality

e.g.2 set inclusion

$$\{a, b, c\}$$

$$\{a, b\} \subseteq \{a, b, c\} \quad \subseteq \text{ is a partial order}$$

$$\{b, c\} \subseteq \{a, b, c\}$$

$$\{a\} \subseteq \{a, b\}$$

$$\{a, b\} \not\subseteq \{a, c\}$$

$$\{a, c\} \not\subseteq \{a, b\}$$

It is not necessarily true that $\forall x, y$
 $x R y$ OR $y R x$.

If every pair of elements can be compared then we have a total order also called a linear order.

If we have a partial order \leq

we say $a < b$ if $a \leq b$ AND $a \neq b$.

a is strictly less than b

u u = ...

BASIC FACTS :


Suppose X is a set and R is an equivalence relation $\forall x \in X$ we define

$$[x] = \{y \in X \mid x R y\}$$

\hookrightarrow the equivalence class of x .

1. If $x R y$ then $[x] = [y]$ (why?)
2. If $x, y \in X$ then either $[x] = [y]$
OR $[x] \cap [y] = \emptyset$ empty set (why?)

The equivalence classes divide X into disjoint "clumps".




THIS IS CALLED
A PARTITION

WELL-FOUNDED ORDERS

A partial order \leq on S is well founded if every non-empty subset $U \subseteq S$ has a minimal element.

Remark We say $u \in U$ is minimal if there is nothing else in U strictly less than u .



2 minimal elements


WHO CARES?

The non-negative integers are a well-founded order with the usual numerical order

All the integers do not form a well founded set.

Thus The principle of induction can be used if and only if the order is well founded.

AFTER CLASS QUESTIONS:



$\{ \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a\} \} \xrightarrow{\text{MINIMAL?}} \{a\}$

$\{ \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b\} \} \xrightarrow{\text{BOTH ARE MINIMAL.}}$

$\{a, b, c\}$ MINIMAL \rightarrow MEANINGLESS.

$\{ \{a, b, c\} \} \rightarrow \{a, b, c\}$

$\{ \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a\}, \emptyset \} \rightarrow \emptyset \checkmark$

