## Today

- More on nearest neighbor (for classification and regression)
- Cross-validation
- Linear least-squares fitting, polynomial lest-square fitting


## Recall - Wisconsin breast cancer data set

- Thirty real-valued variables per tumor that can be used for prediction.
- Two variables that can be predicted:
- Outcome (R=recurrence, $\mathrm{N}=$ non-recurrence)
- Time (until recurrence, for R, time healthy, for N).

| tumor size | texture | perimeter | $\ldots$ | outcome | time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18.02 | 27.6 | 117.5 |  | N | 31 |
| 17.99 | 10.38 | 122.8 |  | N | 61 |
| 20.29 | 14.34 | 135.1 |  | R | 27 |

## Recall $k$-nearest neighbor

- Given: Training data $\left\{\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$, distance metric $d$ on $\mathcal{X}$.
- Learning: Nothing to do!
- Prediction: for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$
- Find the $k$ nearest training samples to $\mathbf{x}$. Let their indeces be $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}$.
- Predict $\mathbf{y}=$ mean $/$ median $/$ mode of $\left\{\mathbf{y}_{i_{1}}, \mathbf{y}_{i_{2}}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{i_{k}}\right\}$.


## Recall - predicting N/R based on tumor size



## Problems

- The curve is jagged - piecewise constant.
- Zero probability is attached to some outcomes.
- What can we do?


## Distance-weighted nearest neighbor

- Inputs: Training data $\left\{\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$, distance metric $d$ on $\mathcal{X}$, weighting function $w: \Re \mapsto \Re$.
- Learning: Nothing to do!
- Prediction: On input x,
- For each $i$ compute $w_{i}=w\left(d\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}\right)\right)$.
- Predict weighted majority or mean. For example,

$$
\mathbf{y}=\frac{\sum_{i} w_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i}}{\sum_{i} w_{i}}
$$

How to weight distances?

## Some weighting functions

$$
\frac{1}{d\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}\right)} \quad \frac{1}{d\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}\right)^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{c+d\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}\right)^{2}} \quad e^{-\frac{d\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}}
$$



Gaussian-weighted nearest neighbor with $\sigma=0.25$


Gaussian-weighted nearest neighbor with $\sigma=2$


Gaussian-weighted nearest neighbor with $\sigma=5$


## Cross-validation

Suppose we want to estimate the performance of a learning algorithm $L$, on a given data set $D=\left\{\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{i}\right)\right\}$, with respect to expected prediction error $\mathcal{E}$.

$$
\mathcal{E}(\hat{f})=\int_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{E}_{0}(\mathbf{x}, \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}), f(\mathbf{x})) P(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}
$$

- We can divide $D$ into a training set $D_{\text {train }}$ and a validation set $D_{\text {valid. }}$
- Suppose $L$ can be viewed as a function that maps a data set $D$ to a function $L(D)=\hat{f}: \mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathcal{Y}$. Let $\hat{f}=L\left(D_{\text {train }}\right)$.

Then:

$$
\mathcal{E}(L(D)) \approx \frac{1}{\left|D_{\text {valid }}\right|} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in D_{\text {valid }}} \mathcal{E}_{0}(\mathbf{x}, \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})
$$

## Cross-validation

- Leave-one-out cross validation averages $m$ iterations of the previous procedure (where $m$ is number of samples in data set), using for the $i^{\text {th }}$ iteration $D_{\text {valid }}=\left\{\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{i}\right)\right\}$ and $D_{\text {train }}=D-D_{\text {valid }}$.
- $k$-fold cross-validation divides $D$ into $k$ roughly-equal sized sets $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k}$, and performs $k$ iterations where $D_{\text {valid }}=D_{i}$ and $D_{\text {train }}=D-D_{i}$ for the $i^{\text {th }}$ iteration.
- What if $L$ is stochastic, so that it doesn't always produce the same $\hat{f}$ for a given data set $D$ ?


## $\underline{\text { Linear and polynomial least-squares fits }}$

## Assumptions

- We assume that $\mathcal{X}=\Re^{n}$ and $\mathcal{Y}=\Re$.
- The data can be organized into a $m \times n$ matrix $X$ and $m \times 1$ vector $Y$ as

$$
X=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{x}_{1} \\
\mathbf{x}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{x}_{m}
\end{array}\right] \quad Y=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{y}_{1} \\
\mathbf{y}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{y}_{m}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- We want to find a linear (affine, really) function of the x's that predicts the y's. Informally, find a $n \times 1$ vector $\mathbf{w}$ of "feature weights" such that

$$
X \mathbf{w}+\mathbf{w}_{0} \approx Y
$$

- Can be written $X \mathbf{w} \approx Y$ by appending a column of 1 's to $X$.

Example: predicting recurrence time from tumor size


## Least-squares criterion

- Specifically, w should minimize the least-squares criterion

$$
S S Q=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{w}-\mathbf{y}_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

which can also be written

$$
S S Q=(X \mathbf{w}-Y)^{T}(X \mathbf{w}-Y)
$$

- Why least-squares?
- How do we find w?


## Differentiate w.r.t. w

- What does the partial derivative look like?

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}} S S Q
$$

## Differentiate w.r.t. w

- What does the partial derivative look like?

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}} S S Q
$$

- Answer: it is linear in the $\mathbf{w}_{j}$.
- We could solve by gradient descent, but ...
- Because $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}} S S Q$ is linear in $\mathbf{w}$, we can set $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}} S S Q=0$ for all $i$.
- This gives us $(n+1)$ linear equations and $(n+1)$ unknowns.

