Policy Gradient Methods for Dialogue Response Generation

Nicolas A. Gontier Michael Noseworthy

Reasoning and Learning Lab McGill University

COMP 767 - Final Project Presentation April 13th



Dialogue Generation



- We can measure the quality of a response using ADEM
 - A Dialogue Evaluation Model (R. Lowe, M. Noseworthy, I.V. Serban, N. Angelard-Gontier, Y. Bengio, and J. Pineau)

Dialogue Generation

- Goal: Train a model to maximize the ADEM score
- We will use the policy-gradient framework from RL
 - State (s_t) : What has been generated up to this point $\hat{Y}_{1,...,t-1}$ given a context c
 - Action (a_t): Emit a token ¹ ŵ_t in the generated response Ŷ given a context c
 - Policy (π) : The HRED ² model (softmax over the vocab)
 - Return (R): The ADEM score for a generated response
 - Rewards are 0 except for the final step.
 - Reward part of sentences with ADEM might gives us a very bad signal
 - Work inspired by "An Actor-Critic Algorithm for Sequence Prediction" (D. Bahdanau et al., 2017)
- Data-set used: On-line Tweets (~700,000 conversations)

$^1 \rm We$ use BPE (sub-word level) tokens to reduce the size of the action space from $^2 \rm 20k$ to $^5 \rm k$

²I.V. Serban et al. (2016)

(本部) (本語) (本語)

Actor Network



Critic Network



Challenges

Large Action Space

- Critic target q_t uses $(R_t \bar{R})$ to reduce variance in the reward
- $J_{critic} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \text{squared error loss} + \lambda C_t$ to penalize variance in the critic values $\hat{Q}(a|\hat{Y}_{1,...,t-1})$
- Pretrain the actor with ML objective: $J_{actor} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p(\hat{y}_t | Y_{1,...,t-1})$
- Pretrain the critic with samples from the pretrained actor

Sparse Reward Signal

Things to try:

- Use ADEM to score sub-parts of generated response? May be really bad, takes more time.
- Monte Carlo roll-outs from each time steps to have a full sentence before sending it to ADEM? Very time consuming!